


In February of 1971 a Special General Meeting was held to decide whether or not to admit non-Catholics as members of the Association. After a 75 minute debate a vote was taken and the result was announced by the then Chairman, Lave Newns:

$$
\text { "Votes in favour of the proposal ... } 37
$$

Votes against ...... 30
The proposal has not achleved the required majority and is thu xejected." After the event, the Newsletter Editor, Eric Kavanagh; wrote in his next Editorial:
"It was disappointing to think that only 67 out of 150 members considered this meeting worth attending..... considering the discussions at the S.G.K. one feels there is a strong desire amongst certain members that non-Catholics be admitted as members; but it is no simple matter to change a Constitution .... and therefore the challenge presented to the proposers of the motion was not simply the calling of a S.G.M. but in the "lobbying" of members to give support at the ballot. This support was not forthcoming because there was no concerted effort to achieve the $75 \%$ majority needed."

Well here we are $4 \frac{1}{2}$ years on with a S.G.M. looming at which a similar proposal will be discussed and voted upon: Whichway will the decision go this time? Well I feel that there is an even greater desire among in the members for the Club to open its doors to our non-Catholic friends, and rightly so in my opinion.

Our Chairman John Clarke expressed the Committee view in great detail in our last issue and strongly urged that all members should attend on llth September and vote in favour of the proposal. However, his comments have not been well received in all quarters but surely as Chairman he must express the thoughts of the General Committee with regard to this crucial meeting. Indeed he was merely "lobbying" the members support for the proposal, making the concerted effort which perhaps was missing in 1971.

But regardless of what John and the rest of the Committee may think, this is a matter which will be decided by YOU the members. It wịll be a democratic decision, YOUR decision, but one thing is certain, a low attendance will mean yet another proposal rejected, so it's up to you.

## Dear Sir

May I reply to the remarks on your page by our Chairman Wr. J.V. Clarke in the issue of the 3lst July.

His appeal, nay demand or was it an order, "to attend the meeting and vote in favour," was in my opinion an afront upon the integrity of club members.

However I feel sure that Rir. Clarke will deposit at the door of the meeting any weapons of persuasion he may possess thereny allowing members of all shades of opinion (and nore) to reach a conclusion by "use of informed reason and sound debate.

A brief comment on points raised by the article is warranted if only to allay any misconceptiom, and putting on guard, any of our members who are unaware of, nor in possession of a copy of the constitution instituting the Liverpool Catholic Ramblers Association.

Para, 1 IT IS A RADICAL PROPOSAL to remove a principal.
Para. 5 . It is not an "outdated rule", such as could be said for the rules appertaining to Leaders Duties, Members conduct. etc.
Para. 3 "friendship.......between various churches" The proposal does not qualify fellow Christians. The term Non-Catholic implies no religious affirmation, even anti Catholic.

Para 4;5 $: 6$ I would not expect my friend to allow me to be confronted with a rejection from his club door because I was a Catholic.

Para. 8 Conflicts with the Proposal i.e. Part $I(b)$ 2nd paragraph.
Sub Committee proposals are usually endorsed by the General Comrittee.

Thank you in anticipation of publication dear editor, God bless you and your work.

W.A. Potter

## Dear Pete,

I should like to reply to Mr. Clarke and his committee who strongly recommend the membership of the Liverpool Catholic Ramblers to vote in favour of non-Catholics being admitted as hssociate Members of the club.

I, too, am in favour of the Ecumenical Commissions work (Christian Unity) but II am also in favour of retaining the Club as it is now for Roman Catholic Ideals. It is one of the few places where Catholic can meet Catholic and be quite certain of this fact and this situation has been the forerunner of many a Catholic liarriage.

I am not aware that the ideals of the Church regarding marriage
have changed, They still prefer Catholic to marry Catholic, whilst no longer preaching fire and damation in respect of a mixed marriage. One of the prime reasons why the Catholic Ramblers was founded was to enable Catholic to meet Catholic and thus give every opportunity for a Catholic marriage. I wonder whether the committee have lost sight of the above mentioned reason why the club was formed. Perhaps the ideal of marrying a Catholic is not as important to them as it is to their elders.

I'd like to know their views on this aspect, bearing in mind the fact that as we live in a Non-Catholic country the law of averages already makes it very hard for Gatholic to meet Catholic without the committee making it harder. Perhaps they are victims of so called "LIBERALISM" and prefer "watered down" Catholicism. If this is the case then they have fallen from the high standards set by their predecessors. Benediction-Rambles and Rosary at Brownlow Hill were part of the successful format in those days:

I understand that Non-Catholics have already infiltrated the ranks of the Club, and $I$ wonder if this motion is a move to get a Red Faced Committee off the Hook by making the position legal. If this situation is true, I'd like to know who authorised a blind eye to be turned without first consulting the membership officially. It seems to me we are now trying to close the stable door after the horse has bolted.

I also understand that one of my friends has been embarrassed on more than one occassion when he has been on holiday with a party of "Catholic Ramblers". He thought he was in the company of a $100 \%$ Catholic Ramblers group, and only found that he wasn't when it was time to go to Mass. (He reckoned the Non-Gatholic element would have preferred the Catholics to have missed Mass and got on with the activities) Also when they told people that they met thit they were members of the Liverpool Catholic Ramblers, the Non-Catholic element showed pained expressions on their faces at such a revelation.

It should be nemembered that the offspring of past members will one day graduate to the ranks of the Catholic Ramblers for all the same reasons and opportunities as their parents. If the motion is passed, the above mentioned opportunities will no longer be clear cut, The present committee will have thrown out all that the Club stood for in the past, if they continue to go in the direction they are heading now, they will have failed to uphold the standards so capably carried out by their predecessors and we will be left with just one more runof - the-mill rambling club. Its identity will be lost for ever.

Is this any way to repay the stalwarts of the past. Without them we would not have had a Catholic Iamblers to join. Are the committee going to throw it all away at one go?

Agairn if you succeed with the motion, is His Grace the Archbishop of Liverpool going to continue to be President?

If you are so keen to have a Christian Unity Rambling Club, why don't you go ahead and organise one and leave the Catholic Ramblers to those who want it as it is. Also remember the Catholic Ramblers
is for all ages, not just for the 20-25 year olds.
The Ramblers have graduated to new modern? Club rooms and bar. As I said in my letter to Frank Mullin they have members with great initiative who have brought many innovations to the Club, but right now they are in danger of going through the barrier and the point of no return - in fact complete oblivion. hss Frank has said he is anxious to avoid two very distinct classes within the Ramblers "which it seems (to at least)is happening now" (Frank was referring to Family and Junior Sections, Ed.) Well soon he may have at least three classes. He goes on to say, "I do not think a change would be for the good, but (Family Section) support for other activities would create a better all round atmosphere." On the one "hand Frank is dictating one issue - no change, on the other the Committee is dictating the motion - change. It strikes me the Ramblers committee officers just want to do what pleases them. They are not prepared to care for the feelings of the older members or prospective older meabers. Prospective older members will not join, because they now know what to expect. There should be a spirit of give and take, a wish to care for a broad range of people and their interests. By doing this they would get the people pulling together. Is it any wonder that there is. "TWO VERY DISTINCT CLASSES WITHIN THE RAMBIERS", and whilst the present comittee members proceed to go their own sweet way not caring about anyone else, there is no reason why the Family Section should feel disposed to taking part in their outside activities.

The gap between "The two very distinct classes" will get wider whilst we have a comaittee hell bent on destroying the ©atholic lamblers. Be it on the committee member's conscience should their motion succeed to allow Non-Catholics as Associate Members.

If you want a genuine "Catholic Ramblers" then vote against the motion and send the committee to their task of building their New Club elsewhere.

Yours sincerely
John D. NicGuirk.

I regret to note that recent correspondence to the comrittee on the "admission of Non-Catholics" issue; has been accompanied by criticism and personal attacks on the Association Chairman Mr, John Clarke, following his article in the Newsletter on the subject.

On such occasions as this when a major constitutional change is envisaged, it is essential that the comittee nake known its views. for the benefit of members particularly when the proposition comes from the committee itself. It can only convey these views through it's Chairman and the article concerned was for this purpose in order that nembers might be informed of the issues involved.

It is a statement made on behalf of the comittee expressing their main sentiments on the subject, They take full responsibility for its content and personal criticisms of the Chairman are totally misplaced.

This, in itself, makes criticisn of John rather iniquitious, but I should add also that discussion in comrittee on this subject was not in any way instigated by him. The matter was raised in comittee as a result of a feeling that a long standing problen should now be resolved one way or the other. The Chaiman, therefore, was obliged to allow time for ample discussion in comittee and conducted the ensucine debate most adrirably and fairly. The result of that debate is the proposition now before nembers, but may I say again its production is the responsibility of the comittec as a group in exactly the same way that its demtiny will be the responsibility of the membership as a body.

Let us by all means oriticise, therefore, if we feel the need to do so but let it be directed to the right quarter. Fortunately the I.C.R.A. is a thriving denocracy and this rust ensure that criticism can never be justifiably levelled acainst an individual in the conduct of its affairs.

C. Dob゙bin

$$
* \quad * \quad * \quad *
$$

## Dear Sir,

With reference to the Editorial by our Chairman John Clarke in the last issue of the newsletter and the letters replying to it in this"issue, I would like to add ry coments.

The Editorial, in my opinion, expressed the feelings of the Chairman and the Comittee (including nyself). The proposal was not a subcomittee decision, but was made at a special meeting of the General Comittee after much discussion.

The writers can rest assured that the Chairman will be inpartial at the important meeting on llth Septeaber, but until that date he is entitled (and indeed should) express his own opinion in an ondoavour to have this motion, which he and the Comittee believe to be fair, passed.
D. Kenna

Dear John,
I don't know who was responsible for sending me the July newsletter but it was nice to hear about the doings of the rarnbers. Thank then for me please.

I was interested to see your proposals for a change in the constitution to adrit non-Catholics. One has to agree with the sentinents expressed in your editorial but could I express a few reflections on the proposals.

First I hope that when there is a vote that it will be a secret ballot. This would remove any suspicion that the menbers felt in anyway coerced in expressing their opinion. Secondly has any thought been given to the type of majority needed to have the anendnent passed. I imagine neither side would be satisfied with a narrow majority.

My next point nay appear to be too hypothetical but should deserve some consideration. Is there any idea how nany non-Catholics would be likely to join. I inagine that at first you would have:quite a flood of then then it would peter out which leads to two considerations, 1) Would the Mona be bie enough, but nore seriously 2) if the Associate Members grow to more than - say half ~ then"the Comittee nay feel that it is unjust to deprive them of a say in muning the club when they pay full fees and participate and support the Club as much as (nore than?) Full Menbers. Né avoid this situation arising alinit could•be introduced $j_{0 . e}$ "The number of Associate Members shall be limited to half the nunber of Full Milebers" or sonething sinilar:

I also feel that to keep the fine atmosphere of the Club some mention ought to be nade that non-Catholic nembers have to be known and sponsored byr a Full Monber (or two?)

It night also be a 'nice' festure to tell the lirchbishop of the intending change (perhaps you have) and inquire whether in the light of such a fundaiental chance in the constitution he wishes to continue as Patron.

- I suspect that this rule is one that has been with the Club since the beginninso In those early years mixed narriages were actively discouraged and an hssociation which brought younc marriageable Catholics together would naturally get the support of the clergy and bishop. Today mixed rarriages receive less condemation but there still are problers, perhaps nore, now that society is to a greater extent less tolerant of religion than in the past. If, however, the irchbishop continues as Patron this will aid your cause.

Well thats all on that subject. I hope I haven't been labouring the obvious and that the points raised are of some help. In any case I hope everything works out for the best interest of the Club.

I was in London for the day recently and called in at Brompton Oratory and on the notice board there was an address of a London Roman Catholic Raribling Club which I thought you right liko to have.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { St. Francis of Issisi Catholic Rambling Club, } \\
\text { Mr. V.J. Lawrence, } \\
\text { 3 Crowborough Road, } \\
\text { London S.W. 17. }
\end{gathered}
$$

It micht be of interest to any nembers who nay move to London.
Well this letter has gone on lone enough so I will bring it to a close. My very best wishes to one and all in the Club.

Yours in friendship

## Dear Mr. Editor,

While not wishine to reply at length to $\operatorname{lir}$. McGurk's letter, I feel that it is my duty as a comittee member to point out several instances where he seens to have been mis-informed.

First, the decision of the eomittee to hold ths special neeting on 11th Septerber was not taken on the spur of the noment after the discovery that several nonmCatholics had "infiltrated" the Ranblers. There have in fact been non-Catholic "nembers". for at least 8 years and probably longer. Most of the comittee (few of whom are under 25) were well aware of this when they were democratically elected last September. Far from being a sudden decision "to save face" it is a question which has been raised repcatedly over the last few years. An S.G.M. on this very theme was held in 1971, but the majority was not sufficiently large to effect the constitutional change. Last year a lot of tine, paper and energy was spent on trying to obtain the views of other Catholic Clubs. This year several priests have been consulted for their views. a special comittee neeting was held to discuss this question alone and the questimhas also been discussed at general comittee neetings several times. It has been given very careful consideration and has been voted on by all of the cominttee.

Secondly Mr. McGurk seens to have been seriously misled regarding the behaviour of our nonmCatholic "neribers" on club weekends. I have been on most of the weekends organised over the past 3 years and far fron trying to discourace the Catholics fron attending Mass it has been ny experience that the non-Catholics have frequently accompanied us to Mass. They have also attended the annual nass;: some of the non-Catholics have even cone on rotreat with us. Strange then that they should seem ébarrassed by the association with a Catholic club.

As a comittee we are all proud to be monbers of the Liverpool Catholic Ramblers; we feel that we have a faith that is worth sharing with others. How can we possibly hope to share it by barring nonCatholics fron our society?

Yours: sincerely.
Lesley Roberts
Vice Chaiman.

## ANNUAE GENERAL PEIETING

## 9TH COTOBER 1975

Notice is hereby given that the 49th Annual General Meeting of the Association will take place at $8.30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. on Thursday, 9th October 1975 in the Manx Suite of the Mona Hotel, James Sitreet, Liverpool.

Members wishing to submit resolutions of any kind for this fnnual General Meeting should ensure that they are in the possession of the General Secretary not later than SEVEN days prior to the meeting.


OURI
ANNULL MiSS
will be held in the
BLESSED SACRAMENT SHIRINE
Clayton Square, Liverpool
at 11.30 a.n.
on
Sunday 28 th September $1975^{\circ}$

On this occasion we ask you to renember all our members, relatives and friends, especially the sick and deceased.

There were approximately 37 people waiting for the coach for the Weatherlam Ramble with still some more to pick up en route. (Pity we could not see that number every week) It was a really warm sunny day. When the coach arrived we got a shock for it looked too small. We stopped for Frank Johnson, Dave Newns and Pete Mulhall on the East Lancs. Road but as the coach was full they had to use Frank's car. The leader decided not to stop at the motorway cafe because of the long queues and so we carried on to Ambleside where we stopped at a cafe, with tables outside, for a pleasant lunch break. After lunch we all boarded the coach and the driver was kind enough to let Dave, Frank and Peter stand. We reached our destination and with Lesley Foberts leading the ' $B$ ' walk and Mike Bradley leading the ' $\Lambda$ ' we set off. There was a slow incline passed a farm and sheep and we stopped a little way up for a ten minute break while Lesley studied a Motorist's Guide to walks in the Weatherlan area. We carried on up to the cairn on the top of the hill where we had a view of all the surrounding countryside. We stopped while Lesley gave us information on all the different mountains around and photos were taken. After about 20 ninutes we started down the hill towards Lake Coniston walking down towards Grisedale Forest as loved by Richie Cannon and his magical mystery tour. We came to a lake where we had a sit down. Some of the girls started to paddle, while Bob Banks, who was wearing a swim costume, took a dip. As he went passed Diane, she got soaked from the backwash. It was now getting late so we started back up the path enjoying a pleasant walk back down to the Lake Coniston and into the village where we found an icecrean and drinks shop. is we were all thirsty it was very welcome. Then a wash and change before the ' $A$ ' party came back, they had a hard walk but enjoyed it. The coach started off for our pub stop at Milnthorpe and we gave Frank, Dave and Pete a lift again, with the extra weight we just made the hills. We got to the pub but as it was rather crowded some of us went across the road until the queue died down, then came back to the strains of Jimm Shand and his band which seems to be a feature of the place. Back on the coach and back to Liverpool, although it was small it was a very reliable coach. We arrived in Liverpool at two minutes to Eleven which was very good time.

Thanks to Mike Bradley and Lesley Roberts for a very nice walk.

> Lord 'X'

Did you hear the one about the Irishman who thought an Albino was an old comic!

Our School Teacher friends are now coming to the end of their long Summer holiday and no doubt they are anticipating another frustrating 12 months. : Ferhaps you would like to share some of their frustrations from last year.
"Caesar entered Romc wearing a coral reef on his head."
"Troy was invaded by the Crustaceans."
"the Romans had hopped to capture what we now call Scotland." obviously"drinkers of Kangaroo Juice!)
Q. What is Rabies? Answer:- "Jewish Friests."
Q. Why did Christ rido into Jerusalem on a donkey?
-1. "Because he was tired."
Q. Name an organism which moves freely around its habitat. -I. "An oak tree." ( Think of that the next time you go rambling through a forest.)
" to be hygenic, pets should have their own bowls \& cutlery."
" People should stick to a regular diet otherwise they become
(1) blind, (2) deaf , (3) dumb!
". Solids differ from liquids because a solid can be thrown but a liquid can only be thrown if it is in a container! "
"The melting point of water is $3130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. "
"One of Trinidads most important exports is Bitchmen to make roads."
"The Amazon Basin is situated on the river Nile !"
"You can tell cellos from basses because collos are always sitting down but basses are standing up."
"Schumann varies the theme by blending the "first villain with the second villain."

From a School report:- " Jean must learn to control her pencil."

From a Frrent's letter:- "Please excuse Mary's absence, she has been suffering from bouts of consciousness."

18ith July - 1st fugust

Many rambers will recall two former menbers, the French girls Jose and Martine who during last year's stay here as "assistante". teachers constantly attended the Cilub's activities, making many friends, before returning home.

I hope therefore that this report of the holiday of Dave Holden, and myself in France with Jose, will be of general interest.

Jose lives in Strasbourg, France, close to the Rhine and Germany and it is also the home of the European Farliament. She arranged our flat accomodation and having just passed her driving test, had her father's office car at our disposal for sightseeing and rambling. . ...

Jose was to meet us at istrasbourg station but our train arrived late at $4.25 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. The ticket collector said she had left: The therefore hired a taxi cund 10 minutes later anxiously rang her bell feeling shattered after a $1_{4}$ hour trip. A sleepy Jose cane down to greet us and we slept until midday then told her news of the ramblers over dinner.

Each day Jose, our guide and chauffeur, drove us to visit very pretty places in her native region of Alsace, also. Lorraine and Germany. We visited the ancient Cathedral of Strasbourg, in which I attended a sound and light performance, the European Parliament and then toured the city by boat. Various open-air shows were held at night including a strange sport of what can only be described as nautical jousting on rowing boats; a jouster being positioned on a raised platform at the rear of each boat.containing 10 oarsmen from different towns.

We took food including a tin of corned beef. When some of this was left over, Dave and'I were horrified one morning to find Jose had fed this to an adopted.stray kitten she called "Moggy". or "Mogeynette". After this affront to English food, we obliged all French visitors to sample Dave's "good English tea" which everyone liked "beaucoup".

Jose threw a party one night but Dave's electric shaver was no good and none of the barbers would shave him without also cutting his already short hair. Happily, a borrowed razor had him clean shaven just in time for the party! Then we demonstrated "The Bump" dance which delighted all the French guests who wanted to try out this unkown dance for themselves.

However, when invited to an Egyptian evening at a College where visiting Egyptian student girls performed the belly dance to the delight of male observers, we made a hasty retreat before they invited us to "join them!

One of Jose's rambles took us up into the lovely forest-covered Vosge mountains to see the best preserved chateau of the region, that of Haut Koenigsburg. Another was to Mount St. Odile, a famed pilgrimage centre. St. Odile really lived. She was converted to Christianity,
according to the story, after gaining her sight and she founded a convent at the mountain top. On arrival we found a priest on stage $\cdots$ singing self-written religious pop songs interspersed with little .. sermons, before large crowds. So impressed. were we with his singing gestures and tuneful songs that we all bought his reeords, the proceeds of which go to charities abroad.

We saw the German death camp of Struthof which is kept. today exactly as during the war, and guides explain to tourists what happened there. French people take their children, usually once, to show them how horrible war is and a relative of Jose suffered there before the Americans liberated the camp in 1944, the day before his execution.

Hops and grapes are widely grown ara the large roofed houses of the sleepy villages are bedecked with many flowers. Of the places in Germany we visited, I liked the University town of Fieidelburg the best.

I was allowed to drive Jose's car back to Strasbourg and found it strange driving a French car but got back home safely with everyone singing "In my Liverpool Home" and (nervously) smoking.. Jose is: still nervous when joining motorways and complains of other drivers "sleeping" on the road.

When her parents returned from holiday they laid out a dinner for us, inviting the neighbours who had a teenage son Pierre and daughter inne. They were both coring to England to study English and were glad of the opportunity of conversing with us. As Anne wäs vëry attractive I enjoyed our conversation and was sorry when the evening ended (although we did not relish the Morrocan mint tea that they served us).

To thank Jose for a wonderful holiday, I gave her a Tom $0^{\prime}$ Connor L.P. as a souvenir of the Liverpool Scousers and on our final evening we treated her to a superb restaurant meal before saying goodbye at the station.


FAMILY SECTION. DIARY:- Wo are in the process of planning our Pantomime arrangements for next January. If you are interested then pleasc contact Bill Naylor as soon as possible at 526-3179.
Sept. 7th..... George and Freda Skillicorn's Walk. Meet at the Chorley Markot Car Park at 12-30 for a 1 p.m. start.
Sept:-19th'... Our Aninual General Meeting will be held at the Naylor's house, 114 Moss Lane, Maghull. This isn't a closed meeting for Committee members so please make the effort to attend and contribute your ideas to the running of the Section.
October 5th....Tony and Mollie Rache's Walk.
FOR THE RECORD:- The fixtures of the last. 4 weeks produced the
following figures.
20th. July........ Ponytrekking cancellod. Car Ramble organised
to Moel Fammau. : 14 Ramblers.
27th. July........ Crinkle Crags. 31 "
3rd. August...... Cader Idris. 35 "
(very hot day $88^{\circ}$ )
10th. August...... Howarth. 17. "

USELESS FACT No. 342. Did you know that a handshake was originally a sign of distrust - you immobilized the other man'sright hand in case he might club you over the head. If he was left handed it was a case of hard cheese (or hard club).

CONGRATULATIONS to John Wheeler and Marie Mulholland who were married on 16th. August.

WEICOVE to ..... James Church and Ann Hartley our latest new me: bers.


RAMBIING DIARY.

| 7th. September | Striding Edge. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14th. September | Oxenhope Moor. |
| 21st. September | Cnicht. |
| 28th. September | Annual Mass at the Blessed Sacrament Shrine, Clayton $11.30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. in afternoons follow in Delamere Forest. |

5th. Dctober................... Great Whernside.
 8p.m. on Thursday 11th. September. £5 depösit.

On a gloriou s Saturday afternoon on the Iush turf under the shadows of the cliff, New Brighton, sixteen dedicated sportsmen attempted to answer some of the questions posed by the British public after the debacle of the first test at Edgbaston. Can England produce batsmen of real character? Do we really have the answer to the short pitched delivery? Is there a cricketer in England capable of taking on the dastardly Aussies?

Haxdy Scot Jim Adamson, disgusted at the display of bis fellow countryman as England captain commented, "Im determined to restore the Tartan to 1ts former strength"(Sorry Jim, you'll just have to suffer Tetley's bitter!)

Gritty John Clarke said," I'm banking on nothing bouncing; I've just made a special check on the pitch !"

Fresh back from a whistle-stop tour of the States,Big Barry Dooley promised to "take them no good Aussies apart". "Apart from what?" I enquired, "Apart from the fact I've never bowled a maiden over in my whole darn life !"

Squad Manageri, veteran Harold Burns said he had one or two surprises up his sleeve, but a thorough search revealed nothing but an assortment of smuggled wrist watches. "Time is on my side !" chuckled Harold mirthfully.

Other members of the I.C.R.A. squad gave their views on the forthe oming series. "Chucker" Banks - "I aim to strike at any rubbish deliveries!" "Titch" Bradley- " Never heard of Jeff Thompson." "Slasher" McMullen. "Itm still nursing an injury after that last delivery." Peter Glenn. "I play if Boycott plays." Frank Mullin.-" You can't tell me how to spot a false declaration!" Frances Lee found it difficult to focus her opinion but said "I just intend to zocm in and take a few quick shots."
Richie Johnson volunteered to dart out with the drinks. "BullsEye or Double Top! "quiped Richie. After a week on the tiles Alan Joynson said "Co nomment !"

Misses M Green and C.Morgan said "We don't mind facing Dennis LiLLee anytime."

Final comment from al1-rounder Paul Stafford, Architect of the squad's success.
"Team building and careful planning provide the blueprint for success. ${ }^{\pi}$

A MAN WALKED INTO A PUB WITH A LUMP OF JELLY IN ONE EAR AND A PIECE OF CAKE IN THE OTHER. WHEN THE BARMAN COMMENTED ON HIS AJPEARENCE, HE REPLED" SPEAK UP, IN A TRIFLE DEAF!
 (
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